

Vector Particle Interactions In the Quasipotential Approach

Valeri V. Dvoeglazov^{*,†}

*Depto de Fís. Teórica, Instituto de Física
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
Apartado Postal 20-364, 01000 Mexico, D.F. MEXICO*

Sergei V. Khudiyakov^{*,‡}

*Laboratory of Theoretical Physics
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research
Head Post Office, P. O. Box 79, Moscow 101000 RUSSIA*

Abstract

The composite system, formed by two $S = 1$ particles, is considered. The field operators of constituents are transformed on the $(1, 0) \oplus (0, 1)$ representation of the Lorentz group. The problem of interaction of $S = 1$ particle with the electromagnetic field is also discussed.

KEYWORDS: vector particle, quasipotential approach, bound state, Lorentz group representation

PACS: 11.10.Ef, 11.10.Qr, 11.10.St, 14.80.Er

^{*} On leave from: *Dept. Theor. & Nucl. Phys., Saratov State University and Sci. & Tech. Center for Control and Use of Physical Fields and Radiations, Astrakhanskaya str. , 83, Saratov 410071 RUSSIA*

[†] Email: valeri@ifunam.ifisicacu.unam.mx
dvoeglazov@main1.jinr.dubna.su

[‡] Email: khud@theor.jinrc.dubna.su
postmaster@ccssu.saratov.su

The descriptions of vector particle by Duffin-Kemmer's formalism, Bargmann-Wigner's one and canonical formalism, that is Proca's theory, are well known and are perpetually used. The Weinberg's $2(2S+1)$ - component theory for wave function (WF) of $S=1$ particles [1]-[3] is not as popular as it deserves to be. In this approach the WF of vector bosons is written as six component column. It satisfies to a single motion equation with no auxiliary conditions needed [3]:

$$\left[\gamma_{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu + p^2 + 2M^2 \right] \Psi^{(S=1)}(x) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$'s are covariantly defined $6 \otimes 6$ - matrices, $\mu, \nu = 1 \dots 4$.

The 6- component WF's $\Psi^{(S=1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ \phi \end{pmatrix}$ are transformed on the $(1, 0) \oplus (0, 1)$ representation of the Lorentz universal covering group $SL(2, C)$. This way of description of the $S=1$ particle has some advantages, indeed [3].

Since the explicit form of $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ - matrices is known (see e.g. [4]), it gives the opportunity to transform the above equation into the system of two equations for χ and ϕ :

$$\begin{cases} \left[p^2 + 2ip_4(\vec{S}\vec{p}) - 2(\vec{S}\vec{p})^2 \right] \phi + (p^2 + 2M^2)\chi = 0, \\ \left[p^2 - 2ip_4(\vec{S}\vec{p}) - 2(\vec{S}\vec{p})^2 \right] \chi + (p^2 + 2M^2)\phi = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

In the case of massless particles ($M^2 = -p^2 = 0$) the above equations could be rewritten in a following form:

$$\begin{cases} 2 \left[ip_4 - (\vec{S}\vec{p}) \right] (\vec{S}\vec{p})\phi = 0, \\ 2 \left[ip_4 + (\vec{S}\vec{p}) \right] (\vec{S}\vec{p})\chi = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

In order to obtain Maxwell's equations for the left- and right-circularly polarized radiation (see e.g. the Eqs. (4.21) and (4.22) in the paper [1b]) it is necessary to assume¹:

$$\begin{cases} (\vec{S}\vec{p})\phi = \vec{E} - i\vec{H}, \\ (\vec{S}\vec{p})\chi = \vec{E} + i\vec{H}. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

We choose the representation of the spin operator for vector particle as follows:

$(S_i)_{jk} = -i\epsilon_{ijk}$. Thus, we can find out the physical meaning of the components of 6-spinor:

$$\begin{cases} \phi_k = \tilde{A}_k - iA_k, \\ \chi_k = \tilde{A}_k + iA_k. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

In some sense they are just the combination of the well-known vector potential A_k and $\tilde{A}_k$, the pseudovector potential, which is defined from the tensor $\tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}$ dual to the tensor of electromagnetic field².

¹In fact, the $(1, 0) \oplus (0, 1)$ representation is the bivector representation of the $SL(2, C)$ group. A bivector can be decomposed in the Pauli algebra as the sum of vector and pseudovector [5]. However the interpretation of Ψ similarly to Ref. [5] generates the second solution of Eq. (1), what is undesirable.

²This potential was introduced in Refs. [6].

We have used earlier $2(2S + 1)$ - formalism with an aim to find out the covariant three-dimensional equal-time equations for the composite systems formed by fermion and vector boson as well as by two vector bosons [7]. The equations of such kind, called the quasipotential equations, are employed successfully when solving both problems of scattering and problems of bound state theory , e.g. when calculating the energy spectra of two-fermion bound states [8]. The development of non-Abelian gauge theories and their experimental confirmation (e.g. discovery of $W^\pm$ and Z^0 - bosons; the data of e^+e^- - annihilation into hadrons) induce the investigations of bound states of these gauge vector bosons , e.g. the investigation of gluonium. Moreover, the high spin hadronic resonances, which will become more accessible at CEBAF, NIKHEF, RHIC and other new medium energy nuclear physics facilities, require the adequate treatment.

Now the majority of the authors [9, 10] considers an interpretation of the gluon as the mass particle having the dynamical mass (which is the result of the self-interaction of color-charged objects) to be possible. Therefore we suppose below that the gluonium consists of mass structural gluons interacting by means of exchange by the massless gauge gluon. The scattering amplitude for two interacting gluons has the following form in a second order of perturbation theory (we separate the Wigner's rotations):

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{(2)}(\vec{p}, \vec{\Delta}) = & -\frac{3g^2}{2M(\Delta_0 - M)} \left\{ \left[\frac{2(p_0(\Delta_0 + M) + \vec{p}\vec{\Delta})^2}{M^2} - 2M(\Delta_0 + M) \right] \times \right. \\
& \times \left(A + \frac{(\vec{S}_1\vec{\Delta})^2}{M(\Delta_0 + M)} B \right) \left(A + \frac{(\vec{S}_2\vec{\Delta})^2}{M(\Delta_0 + M)} B \right) + \\
& + 2i\vec{S}_1[\vec{p} \times \vec{\Delta}] \left[\frac{p_0(\Delta_0 + M) + (\vec{p}\vec{\Delta})}{M^2} \right] \left(A + \frac{(\vec{S}_2\vec{\Delta})^2}{M(\Delta_0 + M)} B \right) + \\
& + 2i\vec{S}_2[\vec{p} \times \vec{\Delta}] \left[\frac{p_0(\Delta_0 + M) + (\vec{p}\vec{\Delta})}{M^2} \right] \left(A + \frac{(\vec{S}_1\vec{\Delta})^2}{M(\Delta_0 + M)} B \right) + \\
& + \left[(\vec{S}_1\vec{\Delta})(\vec{S}_2\vec{\Delta}) - (\vec{S}_1\vec{S}_2)\vec{\Delta}^2 \right] C^2 - \frac{2}{M^2} \vec{S}_1[\vec{p} \times \vec{\Delta}] \vec{S}_2[\vec{p} \times \vec{\Delta}] C^2 \Big\}, \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

The following notations are used:

$$A = 2 + \frac{4\Delta_0 - M}{3} \kappa, \quad (7)$$

$$B = 1 - \lambda - 2\kappa - \frac{2\Delta_0 - M}{3} \kappa, \quad (8)$$

$$C = 1 + \lambda + \frac{\Delta_0 - M}{M} \kappa. \quad (9)$$

The quantities λ and κ , mentioned above, characterize the magnetic dipole and electrical quadrupole momenta of the particle, respectively. M is the mass of vector particle, $\vec{p}$ is the initial momentum in the c.m.s. and Δ_μ is the 4- vector of momentum transfer in the Lobachevsky space.

In the quasipotential approach proposed by Kadyshevsky [11] all particles are taken on the mass shell (even in the intermediate states). In such case (also at $\lambda = 0$ and $\kappa = 0$) the substitution

$$\hat{V}^{(2)}(\vec{p}, \vec{\Delta}) = \hat{T}^{(2)}(\vec{p}, \vec{\Delta})|_{A=1, B=0, C=1} \quad (10)$$

should be done in (6) in order to obtain the quasipotential in a second order of perturbation theory. As a result the obtained expression will coincide with the quasipotential of two interacting spinor ($S = 1/2$) particles except for the substitutions:

$$\frac{1}{2M(\Delta_0 - M)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\vec{\Delta}^2}; \quad \vec{S} \rightarrow \vec{\sigma} \quad (11)$$

which is a consequence of normalization choice only. This remarkable fact shows out the similarity of Dirac's and Weinberg's $2(2S + 1)$ - component formalisms.

The relativistic configurational representation (RCR), which is used for description of interactions of the spinor particles in [12], is also applicable to the case of interacting vector particles. It is a generalization of x - representation of non-relativistic quantum mechanics. The Shapiro transformation [13] should be used in order to pass in this representation instead of the Fourier transformation. The same technique as in [8a] could be applied to the problem of two gluon bound states. For example, the partial-wave equation for the singlet gluonium state could be written as following:

$$(\mathcal{M}_{nl} - 2\hat{H}_{l=J}) \Phi_{l=J}(r) = \left(\hat{V}_C - 2\hat{V}_S - \frac{2}{3}J(J+1)\hat{V}_{LL} \right) \Phi_{l=J}(r), \quad (12)$$

where $\hat{H}_l$ is the relativistic Hamiltonian for the Shapiro's plane-waves. $\hat{V}_C$, $\hat{V}_S$, $\hat{V}_{LS}$ and $\hat{V}_{LL}$ in the quasipotential in the RCR,

$$\hat{V}(\vec{r}; p_0, \vec{p}) = \hat{V}_C + \hat{V}_{LS}(\vec{L}\vec{S}) + \hat{V}_S(\vec{S}_1\vec{S}_2) + \hat{V}_T S_{12} + \hat{V}_{LL} L_{12} + \hat{V}_{SP} P_{12}, \quad (13)$$

are the scalar, spin-spin and spin-orbit terms, respectively ³.

By means of the quasiclassical quantization condition (see [8]), using information about the probable candidates for gluonium (see Table) and employing the various model quasipotentials, the gluonium energy spectrum can be figure out, what will be presented in more details in the approaching publication.

³The matrix elements of the quasipotential in the RCR were presented by us earlier in [14]. We are now repeating the calculations of the energy spectrum of gluonium without any approximations in these matrix elements. The results will be presented elsewhere.

Table. *The experimental data for probable candidates for gluonium*⁴.

	J^{PC}	$n^{2S+1}L_J$
$\sigma(750)$	0^{++}	1^1S_0
$\iota(1460)$	0^{-+}	1^3P_0
$G(1590)$	0^{++}	2^1S_0
$\theta(1720)$	2^{++}	2^5S_2
$g_T(2050)$	2^{++}	3^5S_2
$\xi(2220)$	2^{++}	2^3D_2 or 2^5D_2
$g_T(2300)$	2^{++}	3^5D_2
$g_T(2350)$	2^{++}	3^1D_2

As a concrete application of $2(2S+1)$ - formalism we present here the Hamiltonian for vector particle interacting with electromagnetic field [16], which appears to be a generalization of well-known Shay-Good's Hamiltonian [2]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H} = & \left\{ -\frac{e}{M} \left[(p_\mu A_\mu) \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\Delta_0 - M}{M} \left(1 - \lambda - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\Delta_0 - M}{M} \kappa \right) \right) + \right. \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{2M^2} (\vec{\Theta} \vec{E}) \left(1 + \lambda + \frac{\Delta_0 - M}{M} \kappa \right) - \frac{1}{2M^2} (\vec{\Xi} \vec{B}) \left(1 + \lambda + \frac{\Delta_0 - M}{M} \kappa \right) + \\
& + \frac{(p_\mu A_\mu)}{2M(\Delta_0 + M)} Q_{ik} \Delta_i \Delta_k \left(1 - \lambda - 2\kappa - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\Delta_0 - M}{M} \kappa \right) \Big] + \\
& + 2e^2 \left[A_\mu^2 \left(1 + \frac{2}{3} \frac{\Delta_0 - M}{M} \kappa \right) + A_\mu^2 \frac{(\vec{S} \vec{\Delta})^2}{M(\Delta_0 + M)} \left(1 + \frac{2}{3} \frac{\Delta_0 - M}{M} \kappa - \frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{\Delta_0 + M}{M} \right) - \right. \\
& - \frac{1}{M^3} (p_\mu A_\mu) (W_\nu A_\nu) (\vec{S} \vec{\Delta}) - \frac{1}{M^2} (W_\mu A_\mu) (W_\nu A_\nu) \left(1 + \frac{\Delta_0 - M}{M} \kappa \right) - \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{1}{M^2} (W_\mu A_\mu) (W_\nu A_\nu) \frac{(\vec{S} \vec{\Delta})^2}{M(\Delta_0 + M)} (1 - 2\kappa) \right] \right\} \otimes D^{(1)} \{ V^{-1}(\Lambda_{\vec{p}}, \vec{k}) \} \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

In the Eq. (14) the vectors

$$\vec{\Theta} = (\Sigma_{(41)}, \Sigma_{(42)}, \Sigma_{(43)}), \quad (15)$$

$$\vec{\Xi} = i \left(\Sigma_{(23)}, \Sigma_{(31)}, \Sigma_{(12)} \right), \quad (16)$$

constructed from the tensor components $\Sigma_{(\mu\nu)}(\vec{p})$,

$$\Sigma_{(\mu\nu)}(\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \{ W_\mu(\vec{p}) W_\nu(\vec{p}) - W_\nu(\vec{p}) W_\mu(\vec{p}) \}, \quad (17)$$

have been used. Here $W_\mu(\vec{p})$ is the Pauli-Lyuban'sky 4- vector of relativistic spin, Q_{ik} is the quadrupole momentum tensor for vector particle. The vector $\frac{e}{2M^3} \vec{\Xi}$ could be

⁴It is taken from [15].

considered as a vector of the magnetic momentum for $S = 1$ particle moving with the linear momentum $\vec{p}$.

The importance of investigations presented is proved by the fact that although the different ways of description of free particles are certainly equivalent, but it is not clear *a priori* that all formalisms will give the same predictions at the presence of electromagnetic field. As a matter of fact, they give the different predictions [2, 17, 18].

Finally, the Weinberg's $2(2S + 1)$ - formalism, which is used in this paper, is very similar to the standard Dirac's approach to spinor particles and, therefore, seems to be convenient for practical calculations. Unfortunately, in this form it can be used in the second order of perturbation theory only, since the theory, based on the Eq. (1), has no renormalizability [3]. In our opinion there is still some additional modification of this attractive theory which, perhaps, is connected with the introduction of Higgs particle. Probably, the spontaneous symmetry breaking will give the opportunity to remove the above mentioned shortcoming similarly to the electroweak gauge theory.

The authors express their gratitude to Profs. V G Kadyshevsky, N B Skachkov, Yu F Smirnov, S A Smolyansky, Yu N Tyukhtyaev, S I Bastrukov and A P Topchii for extremely fruitful discussions. We greatly appreciate the technical assistance of A S Rodin. One of the authors (V. D.) appreciates very highly excellent working conditions when being staying at the Instituto de Física, UNAM. This work has been financially supported by the CONACYT (Mexico) under the contract No. 920193.

References

- [1] Weinberg S 1964 Phys. Rev. **133** B1318; *ibid* **134** B882; *ibid* 1969 **181** 1893
- [2] Shay D and Good R H Jr 1969 Phys. Rev. **179** 1410
- [3] Tucker R H and Hammer C L 1971 Phys. Rev. **D3** 2448
- [4] Sankaranarayanan A and Good R H Jr 1965 Nuovo Cim. **36** 1303
- [5] Defaria-Rosa M A, Recami E and Rodrigues W A Jr 1986 Phys. Lett. B **173** 233; *ibid*, 1987 **188** 511(E)
- [6] Cabibbo N and Ferrari E 1962 Nuovo Cim. **23** 1147; Candlin D J 1965 Nuovo Cim. **37** 1390; Han M Y and Biedenharn L C 1971 Nuovo Cim. A **2** 544; Magnani R 1976 Phys. Rev. D **13** 2437
- [7] Dvoeglazov V V and Skachkov N B 1984 JINR Communications **P2-84-199** Dubna
- [8] Sidorov A V and Skachkov N B 1981 Teor. Mat. Fiz. **46** 213 (Theor. Math. Phys. **46** 141); Savrin V I , Sidorov A V and Skachkov N B 1981 Hadronic J. **4** 1642
- [9] Parisi G and Petronzio R 1980 Phys. Lett. B **94** 51
- [10] Krivoruchenko M I 1984 Yad. Fiz. **39** 747 (Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **39** 473)
- [11] Kadyshevsky V G 1968 Nucl. Phys. B **6** 125
- [12] Kadyshevsky V G , Mir-Kasimov R M and Skachkov N B 1972 Fiz. elem. chast. i at. yadra **2** 635 (in Russian)
- [13] Shapiro I S 1956 DAN SSSR **106** 647-649 (Sov. Phys. "Doklady" **1** 91); 1962 ZhETF **43** 1727 (1963 Sov. Phys. JETP **16** 1219); 1962 Phys. Lett. **1** 253
- [14] Dvoeglazov V V and Skachkov N B 1987 JINR Communications **P2-87-882** Dubna
- [15] De Castro A S, De Carvalho H F and Antunes A C B 1989 Nuovo Cim. A **101** 423
- [16] Dvoeglazov V V and Skachkov N B 1988 Yad. Fiz. **48** 1770 (Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **48** 1065)
- [17] Ruck H M and Greiner W 1977 J. Phys. G: Nucl. Phys. **3** 657
- [18] Mishra V. K. et al. 1992 Phys. Rev. C **43** 801.